

## NOTES

**ENA Electricity Networks and Futures Group  
P18 Working Group  
DCRP  
17/09/20 @ 1:30pm**

### Attendees:

Name	Initial	Company
Richard Wilson - Chair	RW	UK Power Networks
Chris McCann	CM	ENA
Peter Twomey	PT	ENWL
Brian Morrissey	BM	SSE
Hui Heng (Part)	HH	SSE
Steve Quinn	SQ	WPD

### Apologies:

Name	Initial	Company
William Cass	WC	Last Mike

### ACTIONS LIST

No.	Detail	Leader	Date	Complete
Action 1	Provide feedback on current text proposal of exclusions section	ALL	Next Meeting	
Action 2	Format document between v4 and v5 copies.	RW	17/09/20	✓
Action 3	Send out v5 document for review from members	CM	17/09/20	✓
Action 4	Present ToR to DCRP panel	CM	Next DCRP Meeting	✓
Action 5	Poll members for next meeting 2 <sup>nd</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> week of October.	CM	25/09/20	✓

**NOTES**

Item	Focus	Leader	Date
1.	<p><b>Welcome, Introductions and Acceptance of Agenda</b> The agenda for today’s meeting was reviewed and agreed by the panel members.</p>		
2.	<p><b>Review of Actions and Notes from Previous Meeting</b> The group discussed previous actions during the and were agreed.</p>		
3.	<p><b>ER P18 Draft v4 Feedback</b></p>		
3.1	<p><u>Paragraph 2.4</u> The group discussed the current wording in the draft proposal document (v4) after some further discussion around the ‘best practice’ status of P18 The work group has agreed the removal of paragraph 2.4 from the scope.</p> <p><u>Exclusions</u> There was discussion around shepherding networks that provide more then 1MW of generation and the LV connections on those circuits. It was proposed that for any LV connection P18 would not apply, but any connection at 22kV and above it would. Due to certain network arrangements this may become restrictive in its approach, further feedback will be required before this section can be finalised.</p>	ALL	Next Meeting
4.	<p><b>Approach to Drafting Document</b></p>		
4.1	<p><u>Term ‘Ends’ ‘Addresses’</u> The group firstly went through the feedback provided by SSE on v4 of the proposal document. This covered 2.4 of the scope, and some wording around the exclusions and restrictions A1 and C.</p> <p><u>Definitions</u> The ‘Site’ definition was discussed, and the text modified to include different postal addresses as a proposed solution. This allows for differentiating between the terms used in P18 and wider industry langue used. A definition for ‘Ends’ was agreed among the group and will be included in the proposed v5 version. ‘Addresses’ was decided to match the definition for ‘Site’ for the purpose of P18.</p> <p><u>Restrictions</u> The text for restriction A1 and A2 was altered to define the difference between the two, A1 had the term manual switching added and A2, fault clearance. This now makes it clear where each restriction is to be applied. The members discussed the use of the term circuits in the restrictions and decided to replace it with the term ends to allow the wording of these restrictions to be clear.</p>		

	<p><u>Document Title</u></p> <p>The group discussed the title of the document and arrived at ‘Complexity of Distribution Circuits Operated between 22kV and 132kV’</p> <p>A version 5 of the document will be formatted and sent out to members for review prior to the next meeting in October.</p>	<p>RW</p> <p>CM</p>	<p>17/09/20</p> <p>17/09/20</p>
4.2	<p><u>Non-retrospective application wording</u></p> <p>This was discussed during the meeting, the definition laid out in paragraph 2.3 of the scope was agreed upon as the preferred text to be used covering non-retrospective application.</p>		
5.	<p><b>AOB</b></p> <p>ToR will presented to DCRP and panel at October Meeting.</p>	<p>CM</p>	<p>Next DCRP Meeting</p>
6.	<p><b>Date of Next Meeting to be Agreed</b></p> <p>A poll will be sent out to all members for a two-week period in October – Week commencing 12<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup>. The next meeting will be agreed from the results of this poll.</p>	<p>CM</p>	<p>21/09/20</p>