

NOTES

ENA Electricity Networks and Futures Group Data Exchange Working Group (DEG)

DCRP

21/09/20 @ 13:00 (2 Hour)

Attendees:

Name	Initial	Company
Ian Povey (Chair)	IP	ENWL
Chris McCann	CM	ENA
Steve Mockford	SM	GTC-UK
William Cass	WC	Last Mile
Alan Creighton	AC	NPg
John Smart	JS	SSEN
Zivanayi Musanhi	ZM	UKPN
Liam McSweeney	LM	WPD
Mark Horrocks	MH	WSP

Apologies:

Name	Initial	Company
Graeme Vincent	GV	SPEN

ACTIONS LIST

No.	Detail	Leader	Date	Complete
Action 1	Raise week 28 submission date with NGENSO and lobby for change from week 28 to week 32	IP	Next GC Working Group	
Action 2	Share with WG diagrams from last Open Networks forum	IP	By Next Meeting	✓
Action 3	Check inclusion of 'NGET Peak' values in GC schedule 11	IP	By Next Meeting	
Action 4	Present to DCRP, groups position on Dcode modification requirements	CM	Next DCRP Meeting	
Action 5	Poll members for availability for next meeting between 28 th September and 7 th October	CM	25/09/20	✓

NOTES

Item	Focus	Leader	Date
1.	Welcome, Introductions and Acceptance of Agenda and previous Notes. Notes and actions document and agenda were reviewed by group and accepted.		
2.	Review Open Actions The actions were reviewed and updates given to the group on the progress since the last meeting. The groups position on the modification requirements to Dcode and the creation of a new Engineering Recommendation were discussed and will be put to the DCRP for approval at the next meeting (October).	CM	Next DCRP Meeting
3.	Schedule Information to be considered		
3.1	<u>Match definitions across multiple UK networks</u> The distribution voltages vary across UK networks, so to allow for this a discussion was had on the current introduction page text for schedule 5. It was agreed among the group to modify the text and include all voltages used in distribution across all parts of the UK for clarity and confirm that at those voltages and meeting the criteria of each form, (it the case of schedule 5 the substation owner) an exchange of data will be required.		
3.2	<u>Placement of Definitions in Schedules</u> There were some discussions on definitions currently shown in the template for schedule 5 and the data sets required. Discussions covered load flow and the group agreed to modify the data set title inline with feedback received from the members. The term 'Existing' was removed from the title for alignment reasons. Currently the columns discussed require clarity on what will be required to record and submit in these fields. Some research will be done to further align these tables with GC0139 and Dcode. Feedback on this will be provided at a future meeting.	IP	By Next Meeting
3.3	<u>Multiple MPANs vs Unique DNO Reference</u> In discussions for schedule 5 the DNO reference was mentioned in terms of Substation name and Number. It was proposed that a section be added to this schedule		
3.4	<u>Consider Separate User Guide</u> The creation of and Engineering Recommendation document to house the schedules as well as all supporting documents was proposed and agreed upon. This document will house a user guide to the schedules and will contain a definition for each abbreviation used in the schedules to avoid any confusion.		
4.	Agree Principle of Data Submission It was agreed that the finalisation of data for schedule 5 should be the main focus of the groups discussion at the meeting.		

4.1	<p><u>Schedule 5 – DER impact & Flow data</u></p> <p>The feedback provided by members between meetings was reviewed and any recommendations were discussed in detail. This included some minor column header text changes and greater discussions on the data field within each set. This led to discussions on the forecasting of data currently in use and how long-term forecasting should be presented.</p> <p>DNOs present expressed the expectation of 7-year load forecasts to be made available to them, and a discussion was had on how those forecasts could fit into this data exchange program. The proposed solution was the creation of a separate schedule was solely to provide forecasting data as these schedules are for annual submission and the timeline for forecasting load is on a longer timeframe.</p> <p>It was also proposed to include the use of diagrams within the engineering recommendation document to add clarity to the formatting of each data set, and which section of the distribution system they relate to.</p>	IP	By Next Forum
4.2	<p><u>Schedule 4 – Non-Sync machine detail</u></p> <p>Not discussed as Schedule 5 was the focus of the meeting.</p>		
4.3	<p><u>Schedule 3- Sync machine detail</u></p> <p>Not discussed as Schedule 5 was the focus of the meeting.</p>		
4.4	<p><u>Schedule 2 – List of DER >=1MW</u></p> <p>Not discussed as Schedule 5 was the focus of the meeting.</p>		
4.5	<p><u>Schedule 1 – Agg. List of DER<1MW</u></p> <p>Not discussed as Schedule 5 was the focus of the meeting.</p>		
5.	<p>Feedback on Data Exchange Annual Timeline</p> <p>The Group discussed the weekly submission timeline table produced for this meeting. The periods of time between each exchange was discussed, with three weeks as an average. It was proposed that as week 28 was the final date of submission to National Grid, to allow for each party to collate the correct amount of data, a proposal would be put forward to NG to push back the final week of submission from week 28 to week 32.</p> <p>The members requested the information of BCAs be submitted to IDNOs as part of this timeline process. Some information required for submission is not obtained until week 21 and this is the basis for the request to NGEN to review the week 28 cut-off for submission.</p>	IP	By Next GC Working Group
6.	<p>Legal Text Discussion</p> <p>No significant development at meeting.</p>		
7.	<p>AOB</p> <p><u>Date of Next Meeting</u></p> <p>A poll will be sent out to members for the next meeting to take place between 28th and September and 7th October.</p>	CM	25/09/20

