

## NOTES

**ENA Electricity Networks and Futures Group  
P18 Working Group  
DCRP  
21/10/20 @ 10:30pm**

### Attendees:

Name	Initial	Company
Richard Wilson - Chair	RW	UK Power Networks
Chris McCann	CM	ENA
Peter Twomey	PT	ENWL
Steve Mockford	SM	GTC-UK
William Cass	WC	Last Mike
Hui Heng	HH	SSE
Steve Quinn	SQ	WPD

### Apologies:

Name	Initial	Company
Brian Morrissey	BM	SSEN

### ACTIONS LIST

No.	Detail	Leader	Date	Complete
Action 1	Provide feedback on current text proposal of exclusions section	ALL	09/11/20	
Action 2	Provide clarity on formatting details and definitions that will apply to this EREC document	CM	09/11/20	✓
Action 3	Provide feedback on current wording of 5.6 bullet 2	ALL	09/11/20	
Action 4	Provide clarity on wording of definition – Member Companies	CM	09/11/20	✓
Action 5	Feedback on 'Functional Switching' definition	ALL	09/11/20	✓
Action 6	Suitable term for 'Isolation' to be provided	CM	09/11/20	✓
Action 7	WG to consult companies on 'Mesh Type Substation' definition	ALL	09/11/20	

**NOTES**

Item	Focus	Leader	Date
1.	<p><b>Welcome, Introductions and Acceptance of Agenda</b> The agenda for today's meeting was reviewed and agreed by the panel members.</p>		
2.	<p><b>Review of Actions and Notes from Previous Meeting</b> The previous actions and notes were reviewed by the group, one action remains open and will be ongoing,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide feedback on current text proposal of exclusions section</li> </ul> <p>The previous actions and notes document from 17<sup>th</sup> September were then agreed by the group.</p>	ALL	09/11/20
3.	<p><b>EREC P18 (Issue 2) Draft Feedback</b> It was proposed that formatting details and definition descriptions to be applied to this document be confirmed by CM, will provide this by next meeting. There were discussions on the intended position of this EREC within the Annex framework. Current plans are for P18 to remain in Annex 2 if approved.</p> <p><u>Exclusions</u> There were brief discussions on this section, it was agreed that action 1 remain open and members review this section within their respective companies and provide feedback, see Item 2.</p> <p><u>Restrictions</u> This section was reviewed by members, the draft text was amended to clarify the interpretations of 5.2 and 5.4. there was a call to members to provide feedback on bullet point 2 of 5.6,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The interpretation of a circuit applies from Restrictions A1, A2 and C all apply when considering this restriction.</li> </ul>	CM	09/11/20
4.	<p><b>Drafting Document Definitions</b> As the EREC is in its first draft phase the definitions used are up for discussion before finalisation. The term 'Member Companies' was discussed and proposed to remove the portion 'who are members of the ENA' on the grounds it is possible to be a licenced network operator but not a member of the ENA. Members to consult their respective engineers and provide feedback.</p>	CM	09/11/20
4.1	<p><u>Direct Transformation</u> After discussion on this term it was agreed to remove the word 'Direct' from the exclusions section and therefore remove Direct Transformation from the definitions section in its entirety.</p>		
4.2	<p><u>Functional Switching</u> It was agreed that members will provide feedback from their respective companies before a definition for this term is agreed upon</p>	ALL	09/11/20

4.3	<p><u>Isolation</u> CM to consult with ENA SHE department on the correct wording of this definition.</p>	CM	09/11/20
4.4	<p><u>Mesh-Style Substation</u> The title of this definition was amended to suit the current wording of the document, 'Style' was replaced with 'Type' it was then agreed that WG members shall provide feedback on the wording of this definition.</p>	ALL	09/11/20
5.	<p><b>AOB</b> NPg has been approached to provide nomination for WG representative.</p>		
6.	<p><b>Date of Next Meeting to be Agreed.</b> Monday – 16<sup>th</sup> November, via MS Teams</p>		