

Minutes of meeting to:

Distribution Code Review Panel (DCRP) P2 WG P2
Review Monthly Meeting 18

Copied to:

David Spillet DCRP P2 WG
NERA attendees
Imperial College attendees
DNV GL attendees

MoM. No.:

16011094/18

From:

DNV GL

Date:

26/08/2016

Prep. By:

Colin MacKenzie

DCRP P2 WG, P2 Review Project

Time/Place:	10:30 – 14:00, ENA conference room 4.	
Participants:		
	David Spillet (Energy Networks Association)	DS
	Kieran Coughlan (Energy Networks Association)	KC
	Steve Cox (Electricity North West) (Chair)	SC
	Stephen Tucker (UK Power Networks)	ST
	John Smart (SSE)	JS
	Will Monnaie (SSE)	WM
	Ben Marshall (National Grid) via conference call	BM
	Tony Berndes (Western Power Distribution)	TB
	Andy Beddoes (SP Energy Networks)	ABe
	Bob Weaver (Power Con)	BW
	Saeed Ahmed (GTC-UK)	SA
	Richard Druce (NERA)	RD
	Goran Strbac (Imperial College London)	GS
	Colin MacKenzie (DNV GL)	CMacK
Appologies:		
	Mark Kilcullen (DECC)	
	Alan Collinson (SP Energy Networks)	
	Chris Marsland (AMPS)	
	Suzanne Huntley (NIE)	
	Alan Creighton (Northern Powergrid)	
	Nigel Turvey (Western Power Distribution)	
	Joe Duddy (RES)	
	Martin Queen (Ofgem)	
	Alan Birch (DNV GL)	

P2 Review Working Group Monthly Meeting 18

2. Meeting objectives

The three main objectives of the meeting were:

- Goran Strbac to address the academic stakeholder responses made in response to the WS6 formal consultation.

Page 2 of 4

- Richard Druce to lead a paragraph by paragraph review of the new WS8 summary report for all WG members to contribute to in order to finalise the draft version of the report.
- Have an initial discussion of the need for and format of Phase 2 of the review. This assumes that it is appropriate to have this discussion.

Agenda

1	Introductions welcome to ENA and housekeeping (Fire Procedure etc. for those attending in person)	SC/DS	10:30
2	Aim of meetings and recap	SC	10:40
3	Addressing academic / stakeholder responses	G Strbac / R Druce	11:00
4	Options for WS8 report	C MacK/ R Druce	11:45
5	Break		12:45
6	Initial discussion of the need for and format of Phase 2	C MacK / R Druce / G Strbac	13:15
7	AOB	SC	14:00
8	Summary review of new actions	C MacK	14:10
9	Meeting Close	SC	14:15

(Note: there was only 1 outstanding action from the previous WG meeting in July, this is addressed under AOB at the end of these minutes)

3. Addressing academic / stakeholder responses.

GS went through a presentation covering all the main concerns raised by the two academic institute responses to the WS6 industry consultation. GS noted that he had gone through a similar presentation at the WG meeting in June.

Various points were discussed but no material concerns or actions were raised.

4. WS8 Summary report review.

RD led the WG in a paragraph by paragraph review of the latest WS8 summary report that was issued to the WG late on 25 August 2016.

The report was displayed to all at the meeting on screen and all changes to the report and notes for amendment were displayed on screen during the WG meeting. SC asked that the amended report be sent to him by Tuesday 30 August 2016.

6. Initial discussion of the need for and format of Phase 2.

Having reviewed the WS8 report and agreed changes with the WG during the meeting, SC indicated that the DCRP would review the recommendation to move to Phase 2 of the review at the next DCRP meeting. The DCRP would then need to take time to consider what they require to cover in Phase 2 of the review.

7. AOB

Summary of Outstanding Actions from Meeting 17

New Action	Action/Responsible/Due Date
17.3 Based on MQ’s direction the WG is to provide Citizen’s advice and Energy UK a second chance to engage with the P2 review process. DS is to contact both stakeholders to discuss this.	DS/SC/5 Aug 2016 Action Ongoing DS and SC met with Energy UK and presented on the P2 review to them. Energy UK accepted a copy of the WS6 consultation questionnaire and advised DS they would come back with some form of response. DS is still trying to find the correct person at Citizen’s advice to set up a meeting with and will advise the WG on progress with this.

WS9 Phase 2 Plan.

CM advised that the Consortium had prepared a draft version of the WS9 report covering a plan for Phase 2 of the review as per the Phase 1 contract requirements, this report had been held back due to the ongoing review of the WS8 report. Since SC was now indicating that the DCRP would want to take time and consider the content of Phase 2, CM wanted to ensure the Consortium could draw their contracted scope of work to an end. To this end CM suggested that the Consortium review the draft report quickly based on the WG meeting discussions and issue the WS9 report to SC and DS as a document the DCRP could consider when taking time to consider what they feel Phase 2 should consider. SC agreed with this way forward to close out WS9.

8. Summary Review of new actions.

New Action	Action/Responsible/Due Date
18.1 The WS8 summary report reviewed and amended by the WG during the meeting is to be completed and issued to SC and DS by the Consortium by Tuesday 30 August 2016	RD/CMacK/30 August 2016. Action completed on 29 August 2016, email from CMacK to DS and SC
18.2 The Consortium is to issue the WS9 Phase 2 plan report to SC and DS for information to assist the DCRP consider Phase 2 of the review.	Consortium/CMacK/12 Sept 2016

Next Meetings

The programmed next meetings for the DCRP P2 WG are:

DCRP P2 WG Meeting No.	Date
	No further WG meetings are planned for Phase 1 of the review.

1. All meetings will commence at 10:30 at the ENA unless advised otherwise.
2. Any material for circulation prior to the meeting should allow sufficient time for WG members to read prior to the meeting and as a minimum should allow 2 working days.