

**Minutes of meeting to:**

Distribution Code Review Panel (DCRP) P2 WG P2  
Review Monthly Meeting 4

**Copied to:**

David Spilliet DCRP P2 WG  
NERA attendees  
Imperial College attendees  
DNV GL attendees

**MoM. No.:**

16011094/4

**From:**

DNV GL

**Date:**

01/5/2015

**Prep. By:**

Colin MacKenzie

**DCRP P2 WG P2 Review Project**

**Time/Place:** 15:30 – 15:50pm, at the 110 Rochester Row, London

**Participants:**

Mike Kay (Electricity North West )	MK
David Spillett (Energy Networks Association)	DS
Alan Boardman (UK Power Networks)	ABo
Alan Creighton (Northern Powergrid)	AC
Diyar Kadar (Scottish Power Energy Networks)	DK
Saeed Ahmed (GTC-UK)	SA
Ben Marshall (National Grid)	BM
Colin MacKenzie (DNV GL)	CMac
Alan Birch (DNV GL)	ABi
Ljubomir Mitrsevici (DNV GL)	LM
Goran Strbac (Imperial College London)	GS
Predrag Djapic (Imperial College London)	PD
Richard Druce (NERA)	RD
<b>Appologies:</b> Tony Berndes (Western Power Distribution)	TB
Chris Marsland (AMPS)	CM
Konrad Borkowski (NERA)	KB
John Smart (Scottish Southern Energy Power Distribution)	JS
Gareth Evans (Ofgem)	GE
Ioannis Konstantelos (Imperial College London)	LK
Mark Kilcullen (DECC)	MKK
Bob Weaver (Power Con)	BW
Steve Cox (Electricity North West)	SC
Joe Duddy (RES)	JD
Suzanne Huntley (Northern Ireland Electricity)	SH

**P2 Review Working Group Monthly Meeting 4**

**Meeting objective**

Due to the time constraints from the 1 May Wider Stakeholder engagement workshop, the aim of the meeting was to have a brief update from Goran Strbac on progress of gathering the data they require from the six DNO companies.

**Agenda**

1	Update on DNO data request by Imperial for WS 2 quantitative analysis.	GS	15:30
2	Close	C MacK	15:40

**1. Update on DNO data request for WS2 quantitative analysis.**

GS provided an update of where the data gathering discussions with the various DNO's had progressed to and based on this those present agreed a way forward and a number of actions as outlined below.

1. NPG had provided Imperial with a regulatory reporting pack (RRP) for Imperial to review and see in this contained the data they required. GS indicated that they had started this review and would complete over the weekend and report back. Action on GS to ensure Imperial complete and report back.
2. If the RRP data covers Imperial's requirements, Imperial is to indicate the workbooks and sheets in the RRP that they require to cover their data needs. Imperial would then require the same RRP data identified from each of the six GB DNOs for over the last five years. GS to respond to the RRP data suitability and to identify the work books and sheets required.
3. If NPG RRP data is suitable AC believes that there is a way around the confidentiality issue by providing the data from the six DNOs in an anonymised format to the Consortium. Action MK to look at how the data identified by Imperial and provided by the DNOs could be anonymised.
4. In terms of Ofgem agreeing to provide anonymised RRP data, LM indicated that he had spoken with his contacts at Ofgem but they indicated they would require getting approval from Ofgem at a higher level. Agreed that DS should ask Ofgem if they could provide their RRP data to the consortium.

**Summary of New Actions**

		<b>Action/Responsible/Due Date</b>
<b>DNO data request for WS2 quantitative analysis</b>		
<b>4.1</b>	GS to ensure Imperial complete the review of the NPG RRP and report back on suitability of data and identify the work books and sheets required.	GS/GS/6 May 2015. <b>Complete</b> (GS provided work books and data sheets required in his email of 5 May 2015. GS also confirmed at the conference call meeting on 12 May that the RRP provides all the data requirements, but would require a set of data over five years for each of the six GB DNOs)
<b>4.2</b>	MK to assess how data from RRP from all six DNOs could be anonymised.	MK/MK/12 May 2015 (Noted from conference call on 12 May that Peter Twomey of ENWL has been tasked with anonymising the RRP data from each of the DNOs and sending on to the consortium)
<b>4.3</b>	DS to ask Ofgem if they could provide the Consortium with their RRP data.	DS/DS/ 12 May 2015 (Post meeting note. Based on the discussions on

**Action/Responsible/Due Date**

the conference call of 12 May, this action may not be required, this will be assessed again at a later date)

**Outstanding Actions.**

While the meeting agenda does not include a review of outstanding actions from previous meetings, Appendix A contains a summary list of all ongoing or outstanding actions.

**Next Meetings**

The programmed next meetings for the DCRP P2 WG are:

DCRP P2 WG Meeting No.	Date
5	Wednesday 27 May
6	Monday 22 June
7	Wednesday 29 July
8	Wednesday 19 Aug
9	Wednesday 23 Sept
10	<del>Wednesday 28 Oct</del> Provisionally moved to Tuesday 27 Oct, DS to confirm.
11	Wednesday 25 Nov
12	Friday 18 December

1. All meetings will commence at 10:30 at the ENA unless advised otherwise.
2. Any material for circulation prior to the meeting should allow sufficient time for WG members to read prior to the meeting and as a minimum should allow 2 working days.

**Appendix A Summary of Outstanding Actions at 1 May 2015**

		<b>Action/Responsible/Due Date</b>
<b>Recap on the key P2 study issues</b>		
<b>What has changed over the last 18 months</b>		
1	Consortium to consider the impact of relevant LCNF projects now they are more mature, special examples could include Electricity North West 's C2C project and DSR related projects in general on the P2 review. WS 2 activity for NERA and Imperial.	GS, RD/Consortium PM/during workstream 2.  <b>Ongoing</b>
2	RIIO price control period commences with a new interruption incentive scheme, etc. Consortium to consider impacts during WS 2 techno-economic modelling. WS 2 activity for NERA and Imperial	GS, RD /Consortium PM/during workstream 2.  <b>Ongoing</b>
3	Smart Grid Forum WS 7 project, DCRP P2 WG to provide Consortium with update on project outputs that could have an impact on the P2 review. Based on meeting on 26 Feb 2015 MK is to provide the presently available report setting out the WS7 project, followed by a soon to be published report first WS7 report setting out the scope.	The available WS7 report was provided by ENA on 2 March 2015. <b>Action complete.</b>  The first WS7 report to be provided by DCRP P2 WG by 30 March 2015 subject to report release date. <b>Ongoing</b>
	Consortium to consider impact of WS7 information provided by DCRP P2 WG on P2 review. WS 2 activity for NERA and Imperial.	GS, RD /Consortium PM/during workstream 2.  <b>Ongoing</b>
4	Losses has been raised as a key issue by Ofgem driven by EU directives. Consortium to review and include in techno-economic assessments. WS 2 activity for NERA and Imperial.	GS, RD /Consortium PM/during workstream 2.  <b>Ongoing</b>
5	Any issues relating to supply security in the latest ENTSO-E 10 year plan should be considered for potential impact on the P2 review. WS 2 activity for NERA and Imperial.	GS, RD /Consortium PM/during workstream 2.  <b>Ongoing</b>
<b>Consortium proposals to conduct the P2 review</b>		
11	DCRP P2 WG is to advise any other party that they would wish to peer review the modelling inputs and out puts in anticipation of a public consultation on proposals that may be justified (in part) by evidence produced using Imperial's techno-economic model.	DCRP P2 WG/DS/ by 23 Feb 2015.  <b>Outstanding</b> (agreed on 27/3/2015 to leave this open for further discussion with Ofgem would raised this item)
<b>Overall governance</b>		

	<b>Action/Responsible/Due Date</b>
12 Consortium to ensure all corespondance with DCRP P2 WG comes from the Consortium PM to David Spillet as the single points of contact in each organisation.	All consortium members/Consortium PM/ <b>ongoing.</b>
2.1 Regarding actions not carried out by the DCRP P2 WG, CM is to chase DS to resolve these issues.	CM/CM/ <b>Ongoing</b>
<b>Stakeholder Engagement</b>	
2.3 DS to provide the consortium with the names and contact details of the key individuals at each DNO who should be interviewed directly as part of work stream 2 (i.e. individuals responsible for setting policy for the DNO's network planning teams to follow).	DS/ DS/ 23 March 2015  <b>Ongoing in collaboration with Consortium (awaiting WPD names)</b>
	<b>Action/Responsible/Due Date</b>
<b>3.11</b> Regarding release of RIIO ED1 cost data agreed that MK would find out if this would be possible and report back.	MK/DS/8 April. <b>Complete, answer was no as we would need to release into public domain.</b>

