

## Customer Islanding Workgroup

### MINUTES

Meeting – Thursday 22 February 2024

MS Teams Meeting

### ATTENDEES

Name	Initials	Company
Andy Hood	AH	NGED
Mike Kay	MK	ENA
Mark Dunk	MD	ENA
Nataliia Myrhorodska	NM	ENA
Peter Twomey	PT	Electricity North West
Jason Kirrage	JK	Solaredge
Chris Marsland	CM	Bingham Hart
Steve Mockford	SJM	GTC
David Hill	DH	NIE

### APOLOGIES

Name	Initials	Company
Richard Wilson	RW	UKPN
Greig Swanpoel	GS	Tesla

### MEETING NOTES AND ACTIONS

LEAD

Agenda item	Welcome and acceptance of agenda	AH
Members were welcomed to the meeting, and the agenda was agreed.		
<b>Actions</b>	None	

Agenda item	Review of previous actions	MK
<p>The minutes of the previous meetings (11/12/23 and 22/01/24) were accepted. It was noted that in relation to the maximum phase imbalance requirement, the DNOs would be proposing increasing this from 16A to 32A in the next iteration of G99.</p>		
<b>Actions</b>	None	

Agenda item	Synchronizing	MK
<p>The pros and cons of including definite values were rehearsed. It was agreed that the proposed values would be included, and that attention would be drawn to it in a consultation question when the islanding modifications are included in the next iteration of G99.</p>		
<b>Actions</b>	<p>Include in next minor technical mods iteration of G99 and draft an appropriate consultation question.</p> <p><b>28/03/24</b></p>	MK

Agenda item	Fault Ride Through	MK
<p>The meeting stepped through the logic of the proposed approach, and agreed it. It was noted that the drafting on the exclusion was not clear – MK to propose a modification in the minutes:</p> <p><i>9.6.2.9. An exception to 9.6.2.8 shall be allowed if the resultant change in Active Power flow at the Connection Point is both less than 5 MW and less than 10% of the lower of the maximum export capacity or the maximum import capacity.</i></p> <p>As with synchronizing, attention will be drawn to this requirement in the consultation on the next iteration of G99.</p> <p>CM will explore the acceptability of the proposal with relevant stakeholders. If necessary the feedback can be discussed again in a meeting scheduled for 1300 on 08/04.</p>		
<b>Actions</b>	<p>Confirm or otherwise acceptability of revised text.</p> <p><b>01/03/24</b></p> <p>Consult stakeholders and provide feedback.</p> <p><b>05/04/24</b></p> <p>Include in next minor technical mods iteration of G99 and draft an appropriate consultation question.</p> <p><b>28/03/24</b></p>	<p>ALL</p> <p>CM</p> <p>MK</p>

Agenda item	Diagrams	MK
It was noted that on diagrams 8.12 and 8.14, and possibly 8.13, the customer's main switchgear is missing.		
<b>Actions</b>	Arrange to add customer's switchgear, and recirculate. <b>08/03/24</b>	MK

Agenda item	Drafting points	MK
No new points raised		
<b>Actions</b>	None	ALL

Agenda item	Next steps	MK
A tentative meeting arranged for 08/04/24 in case of any feedback on the FRT requirements. Otherwise the revised text and diagrams will be included in the next iteration of G99 for consultation, probably in Spring 2024.		
<b>Actions</b>	Arrange 08/04 meeting <b>22/02/24</b>	MK

Agenda item	AOB	MK
None		
<b>Actions</b>	None	