DCRP/MP/24/01: Minor technical modifications to EREC G98 and G99

Stakeholders are invited to respond to this consultation, expressing their views or providing any further evidence on any of the matters contained within the consultation document. Stakeholders are invited to supply the rationale for their responses to the set questions.

Please send your responses and comments by **17:00, 9th August 2024** to [dcode@energynetworks.org](mailto:dcode@energynetworks.org) and please title your email ‘Consultation Response DCRP/24/01/PC Minor technical modifications to EREC G98 and G99. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Working Group.

Any queries on the content of the consultation pro-forma should be addressed to DCode Administrator on 020 7706 5105, or to [dcode@energynetworks.org](mailto:dcode@energynetworks.org)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent** | *Name* |
| **Company Name** |  |
| **No. of DCode Stakeholders Represented** |  |
| **Stakeholders represented** | *Please list all Stakeholder names responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant).* |
| **Role of Respondent** | *Eg Distributor/Supplier/Generator/ Consolidator / Exemptible Generator / BSC Agent / Party Agent / Distributor / other – please state* [[1]](#footnote-1)*)* |
| **We intend to publish the consultation responses on the DCode website. Do you agree to this response being published on the DCode website? [Y/N]** |  |

|  | Question | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Q1 | Do you agree with the general intent of the proposed modifications? If not, please explain your views. |  |
| Q2 | Do you have any views on how this amendment addresses the above relevant Distribution Code Objectives? |  |
| Q3 | Do you have any comments in respect of the inclusion of the approaches to synchronizing, and the inclusion of back stop criteria, as applied to islands, in section 14.5.4? |  |
| Q4 | Do you have any comments in respect of the implications of the restrictions self-islanding in relation to the fault ride through requirements included in 9.6.2.6? |  |
| Q5 | Do you have any comments on the proposed revision to the significant modification requirements in section 20.3 and annex A.6? |  |
| Q6 | If you have any detailed comments on the proposed drafting, please provide those comments in the proforma provided, or by marking up the consultation drafts of the Distribution Code, G98 and/or G99. |  |
| Q7 | Would you suggest any alternative wording etc to any of the proposed amendments? And if so, please include the text you suggest. |  |
| Q8 | Are there any other housekeeping or minor corrections you believe should also be made at this time? |  |
|  |  |  |

Please provide comments relating to the specific technical content of the proposed modifications[[2]](#footnote-2)

| Page / line No | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph Figure/ Table | Type  of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial) | COMMENTS | Proposed change | OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIAT  on each comment submitted |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Delete as appropriate – please do not use strikeout, this is to make it easier to analyse the responses [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Add more rows if required [↑](#footnote-ref-2)