

EREC G94 Initial Discussions

MINUTES

Meeting – Wednesday 27th November 2024, 09:30 – 11:30

MS Teams Meeting

ATTENDEES	INITIAL	COMPANY
Nataliia Myrhorodska	NM	ENA
Seth Treasure	ST	NGED
Peter Twomey	PT	ENWL
Stephen Tucker	STu	UKPN
John Mason	JM	UKPN
Steve Mockford	SM	GTC
Gary Eastwood	GE	TCL
Karan Oommen	KO	TCL
Alan Creighton	AC	NPg
Muddasser Razzaq	MR	NPg
Matthew Brown	MB	NPg
Michael Burgan	MB	SPEN

MEETING NOTES AND ACTIONS

LEAD

Item 1	Welcomes and acceptance of agenda	NM
Members were welcomed to the meeting and the agenda was agreed.		
Actions	None	
Item 2	Review actions from previous meeting	NM

Registered office Energy Networks Association Limited, 4 More London Riverside, London SE1 2AU
 t. +44 (0)20 7706 5100 e. info@energynetworks.org energynetworks.org

Previous completed actions were agreed and closed.		
Actions	None	
Item 3	Discuss queries from GE and AC on the EREC G94 document	ALL
<p>The aim of the meeting was to agree the key technical issues with the WG members. The agreed technical issues would help to produce the final draft document for commenting.</p> <p>GE went through each proposed amendments to the draft with options provided.</p> <p>The following amendments were discussed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Title <p>The title for EREC G94 – “Managing the Risk Associated with the Provision of Multiple Connections in close proximity” was agreed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Scope <p>Option 3 proposed by GE was taken as a basis. A clarification around different DNO should be provided with clarification on G87 document application.</p> <p>Option 3 - This EREC addresses managing the risks of providing a new Connection Point to premises with an existing supply or where adjacent premises have an existing supply.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction <p>Further in the document to use the term “Connection Point” instead “Point of Supply” and to use a generic term “Connection” when we talking about the provision of supplies to the premises.</p> <p>A term “Connection Point” should be defined in the document.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Terms & Definition <p>All defined terms should be in a lower case.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Using a EHV <p>The WG agreed remove EHV paragraph from the text document and from the Terms & Definition clause.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion around using term “site”, “land” etc. <p>In the meeting was agreed change “Barrier” to “Boundary”. The term “Ground” should be defined in the document. Throughout the document to change “site” to “land”, “adjacent land” change to “adjacent ground”.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Interpretation of Premises <p>This issue relates to the illustrations which gives an interpretation of the term Premises. The WG agreed to keep the drawing as these are relevant and useful and make those more similar to the scenarios example.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Table 1. Multiple points of supply signage <p>The WG agreed that multiple points of supply signage needs refer to a more than 1 Connection Point and is not just withing a single building. TCL will make clearer on the scenario drawings where the signage should be posted.</p>		

The WG suggested and agreed some general changes to the clause 5 EREC G94. Also was agreed to delete paragraph Neutral Current Diversion (NCD) as it has no relevance to a global earthing system.

9. Flowchart

GE took away the flowchart and in conjunction with AC will amalgamate two flowcharts in one.

The WG agreed to amend the table 2 Clause 6.3 and add in this section flowchart as an instructions that should be undertaken.

10. Section 10 Requirements for an Additional Supply

GE will make changes to the clause 10 to make it consistent with recommendation for multiple supply within a single premises.

The WG members believes that multiple supplies to the same domestic dwelling not allowed but there can be more than one supply to adjacent within a land.

The WG have not discussed all outstanding issues due to lack of time. So, GE suggested to the WG to provide comments on the undiscussed items, express objection if any and forward those to the TCL or ENA.

A next step will be to TCL to summarise all information received and produce a spreadsheet with the decision and forward to the members for agreement. If no objections received to develop next version of the document – final version.

Action 3.1	To circulate the spreadsheet with proposed amendments to members 27.11.2024 <i>Post meeting notes – closed.</i>	TCL
Action 3.2	To populate the decision column with objections/proposition, if any, and forward to TCL 13.12.2024	ALL
Action 3.3	To summarize a populated decisions and produce the final spreadsheet with amendments and decisions to the document. Circulate among members for agreement 10.01.2025	TCL
Item 4	Next steps	ALL
<p>Following next steps were identified:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. GE to circulate the spreadsheet with proposed amendments to members (See act. 3.1). 2. Members to populate the decision column with objections/proposition, if any, and forward to TCL (See act. 3.2). 3. TCL to summarize a populated decisions and produce the final spreadsheet with amendments and decision to the document (See act. 3.3). 4. Members to agree the final spreadsheet with amendments and decisions (See act. 4.1). 5. GE to amend the document in accordance with agreed decision and develop a final draft (version 5) EREC G94 and give to members for reviewing before the next meeting (See act. 4.2). 		
Action 4.1	To agree the final spreadsheet with amendments and decisions 24.01.2025	All

Action 4.2	Amend the document in accordance with agreed decision and develop a final draft (version 5) EREC G94 and give to members for reviewing. 07.02.2025	TCL
Item 5	AOB	NM
None were raised.		
Actions	None	
Item 6	Next Meeting	NM
The next meeting is expected in early February. NM will circulate the poll to determine the day of the next meeting.		
Actions 6.1	Review timing and arrange the next meeting	NM

ACTIONS LIST

3.1	To circulate the spreadsheet with proposed amendments to members 27.11.2024 <i>Post meeting notes – closed.</i>	TCL
3.2	To populate the decision column with objections/proposition, if any, and forward to TCL 13.12.2024	ALL
3.3	To summarize a populated decisions and produce the final spreadsheet with amendments and decisions to the document. Circulate among members for agreement 10.01.2025	TCL
4.1	To agree the final spreadsheet with amendments and decisions 24.01.2025	All
4.2	Amend the document in accordance with agreed decision and develop a final draft (version 5) EREC G94 and give to members for reviewing. 07.02.2025	TCL
6.1	Review timing and arrange the next meeting	NM