

## EREC G94 Initial Discussions

### MINUTES

Meeting – Monday 30<sup>st</sup> September 2024, 14:00 – 16:00

### MS Teams Meeting

ATTENDEES	INITIAL	COMPANY
Nataliia Myrhorodska	NM	ENA
Seth Treasure	ST	NGED
Paul Dynes	PD	SPEN
Peter Twomey	PT	ENWL
Stephen Tucker	STu	UKPN
John Mason	JM	UKPN
Steve Mockford	SM	GTC
Gary Eastwood	GE	TCL
Karan Oommen	KO	TCL
Alan Creighton	AC	NPg

### APOLOGIES

Matthew Brown	MB	NPg
---------------	----	-----

### MEETING NOTES AND ACTIONS

### LEAD

<b>Item 1</b>	<b>Welcomes and acceptance of agenda</b>	<b>NM</b>
Members were welcomed to the meeting and the agenda was agreed.		
<b>Actions</b>	None	
<b>Item 2</b>	<b>Review actions from previous meeting</b>	<b>NM</b>

Previous completed actions were agreed and closed.		
<b>Actions</b>	None	
<b>Item 3</b>	<b>Agree proposed principles for EREC G94</b>	<b>ALL</b>
No objection received on proposed principles for EREC G94.		
<b>Actions</b>		ALL
<b>Item 4</b>	<b>Agree proposal for completing Draft of EREC G94</b>	<b>ALL</b>
<p>GE gave a quick introduction to the proposal for completing Draft EREC G94. The WG discussed the Clause 6.3 of the EREC G94 as the wording required some clarification. It was agreed to reword clause to get it align with licence obligation.</p> <p>ST proposed to add to the document wording for management of supply system as fault restoration considering consistent of earthing type provision. The WG agreed to implement into the document ST's proposition.</p> <p>The WG discussed joint approach to the Dr Rob Weller report for General Principles for Separation. When the EREC G94 will be ready to direct the document to Dr Rob Weller to get permission on using the information given in the report.</p>		
<b>Action 4.1</b>	To reword Clause 6.3 of the EREC G94 <b>15.11.2024</b>	TCL
<b>Item 5</b>	<b>Discussion on the Draft EREC G94 document</b>	<b>ST</b>
<p>GE updated members on amendments that have been made to the EREC G94 version 2.</p> <p>The flowchart is require further clarification in terms of consistency with the terms and definitions and with the principles of the document.</p> <p>AC paid attention to the WG that definitions of the "structure", "building" and "land" is required and if we going to use the term "site" it should be defined with explanation how that relates to the "structure", "building" and "land".</p> <p>The WG agreed to have a read through the latest draft and get answer to the question if this document cover the technical scenario, the technical aspects and if anything is missing in the document.</p> <p>The WG discussed the title of the document. Not all members agree that current title is summarising the entirety to the document.</p> <p>AC suggested that the title might be – "Managing the risk associated with a provision of a new connection".</p> <p>The WG did not reach consensus, further discussion is required.</p> <p>GE clarified if the table with the scenarios for voltage levels supply to a premises may be deleted. The WG agreed the additional clause should be given to explain requirements when a Customer applies for an additional supply mixed on a different voltage levels.</p>		

<p>The next step is Threepwood to amalgamate the comments received from AC and SM and issue the draft EREC G94 version 3. This version should be placed on the ENA SharePoint for commenting by <b>25<sup>th</sup> October</b>. GE provided the main requirement for commenting process to be consistent with all members. The WG agreed to make the term and definitions bold through the document.</p> <p>To provide reply on received comments and to make amendments to the document Threepwood will set a time by <b>15<sup>th</sup> November</b>.</p>		
<b>Action 5.1</b>	To review the draft EREC G94 and provide comments <b>25.10.2024</b>	ALL
<b>Action 5.2</b>	To work out all necessary amendments to the EREC G94 <b>15.11.2024</b>	TCL
<b>Item 6</b>	<b>AOB</b>	<b>NM</b>
None were raised.		
<b>Actions</b>	None	
<b>Item 7</b>	<b>Next Meeting</b>	<b>NM</b>
The next meeting will be arranged during 25 <sup>th</sup> – 30 <sup>th</sup> of November.		
<b>Actions 7.1</b>	Review timing and arrange the next meeting	NM

## ACTIONS LIST

<b>4.1</b>	To reword Clause 6.3 of the EREC G94 <b>15.11.2024</b>	TCL
<b>5.1</b>	To review the draft EREC G94 and provide comments <b>25.10.2024</b>	ALL
<b>5.2</b>	To work out all necessary amendments to the EREC G94 <b>15.11.2024</b>	TCL
<b>7.1</b>	Review timing and arrange the next meeting	NM