DCRP/23/03/PC: Modification to DOC6 to allow protection for sites when implementing demand disconnection where technically feasible

Stakeholders are invited to respond to this consultation, expressing their views or providing any further evidence on any of the matters contained within the consultation document. Stakeholders are invited to supply the rationale for their responses to the set questions.

Please send your responses and comments by **17:00, [Friday XX August 2023]** to [dcode@energynetworks.org](mailto:dcode@energynetworks.org) and please title your email ‘Consultation Response DCRP/23/03/PC Modification to DOC6 to allow protection for sites when implementing demand disconnection where technically feasible’ Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Working Group.

Any queries on the content of the consultation pro-forma should be addressed to DCode Administrator on 020 7706 5105, or to [dcode@energynetworks.org](mailto:dcode@energynetworks.org)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent** | *Name* |
| **Company Name** |  |
| **No. of DCode Stakeholders Represented** |  |
| **Stakeholders represented** | *Please list all Stakeholder names responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant).* |
| **Role of Respondent** | *Eg Distributor/Supplier/Generator/ Consolidator / Exemptible Generator / BSC Agent / Party Agent / Distributor / other – please state* [[1]](#footnote-1)*)* |
| **We intend to publish the consultation responses on the DCode website. Do you agree to this response being published on the DCode website? [Y/N]** |  |

|  | Question | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Q1 | Do you agree with the general intent intent of the proposed modification? If not, please explain your view. |  |
| Q2 | Do you believe that the proposed modification, as set out in the DCRP/MP/23/03 Consultation Pack, better facilitate the Applicable Distribution Code Objectives? |  |
| Q3 | Are there any other sections of DOC6 you think need to be amended under this modification? If so please explain. |  |
| Q4 | Do you have any other relevent comments? |  |

Please provide comments relating to the specific technical content of the proposed modifications[[2]](#footnote-2)

| Page / line No | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph Figure/ Table | Type  of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial) | COMMENTS | Proposed change | OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIAT  on each comment submitted |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | See comments above. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Delete as appropriate – please do not use strikeout, this is to make it easier to analyse the responses [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Add more rows if required [↑](#footnote-ref-2)