

## Data Exchange Working Group

### MINUTES

Meeting – Friday 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2022, 13:00 – 15:00

### MS Teams Meeting

ATTENDEES	INITIAL	COMPANY
Ian Povey - Chair	IP	Electricity North West
Chris McCann - Secretariat	CMc	ENA
Alan Creighton	AC	Northern Powergrid
Graeme Vincent	GV	Scottish Power Energy Networks
Steve Mockford	SM	GTC
Zivanayi Musanhi	ZM	UK Power Networks
Will Monnaie (Part)	WM	Scottish and Southern Energy

### APOLOGIES

Lee Savile	LS	Western Power Distribution
John Smart	JS	Scottish and Southern Energy

**MEETING NOTES AND ACTIONS**

**LEAD**

<b>Agenda item 1. Welcome and acceptance of agenda</b>		<b>CMc</b>
Members were welcomed to the meeting, and the agenda was agreed.		
<b>Actions</b>	None	
<b>Agenda item 2. Review of previous actions</b>		<b>IP</b>
<p>The WG reviewed the actions from the previous meeting held in June. All completed items were closed, and remaining items addressed in this meeting.</p> <p>During this a discussion on the scenario of IDNOs requesting short circuit contribution figures from the DNO for detailed network planning was discussed. It was agreed that a short section shall be included into EREC G111 (reference Action 24.6). As this is currently ad-hoc further discussions on any amendments to the schedules are ongoing.</p>		
<b>Actions</b>	None	
<b>Agenda item 3. EREC G11 Development</b>		<b>All</b>
<p>Minor editorial points were agreed, and a copy of the EREC will be circulated once these points have been updated into the document for further discussion.</p> <p>It was agreed that the foreword requires some re-arrangement of sentences to provide clarity to the reader, which AC and IP agreed to carry out.</p> <p><u>Review comments received</u></p> <p>The WG conducted a review of the draft EREC, discussed the comments attached, and agreed amendments to the drafting were suitable.</p> <p><u>Additional defined terms</u></p> <p>During the review a number of additional terms highlighted were discussed, the WG discussed in detail the use of the term Connection Point. AC proposed to look again at this definition. To aid in discussions an Open Networks document was shared with 'simplified terms', to be used in reference to Open Networks projects. After discussing this document it was agreed that further background on the intentions and governance process for this document are needed. IP agreed to approach the relevant ON group to gather information on the general intent of this document before proceeding.</p> <p>After lengthy discussions it was agreed to review the definitions in use within EREC G111, using the latest version of the DCode for shared definitions as a primary approach, reference G99 definitions where DCode references are not suitable then revise any remaining definitions. It was recognised that the WG would be looking to propose changes to some DCode definitions.</p> <p><u>Alignment of text to latest schedules</u></p> <p>Not discussed in great detail, however during this discussion it was agreed that the focus of data collected in schedules 1 and 2 should be on Power Generating Facilities, rather than Power Generating Modules.</p>		
<b>Action</b>	Provide WG with latest copy of EREC Post 02/09/22 meeting	

<b>25.1</b>	<b>09/09/22</b>	CMc
<b>Action</b>	Amend foreword for clarity to the user	
<b>25.2</b>	<b>16/09/22</b>	AC/IP
<b>Action</b>	Review definition for Connection Point	
<b>25.3</b>	<b>16/09/22</b>	AC
<b>Action</b>	Approach ON re background and intent to 'simplified definitions' document	
<b>24.4</b>	<b>21/09/22</b>	IP
<b>Action</b>	Provide feedback on latest draft of EREC G111	
<b>25.5</b>	<b>21/09/22</b>	All
<b>Agenda item 4. Comments log</b>		<b>All</b>
<u>Discussion on IDNO engagement</u>		
The WG discussed the comments log on this modification. SM provided an update on IDNO engagement; contact through the INA is ongoing with no comments received.		
The log will continue to register any comments received, though if no comments are received then the WG will take this as approval from the IDNOs of the proposed changes to planning data exchange.		
<b>Actions</b>	None	
<b>Agenda item 5. Timeline</b>		<b>All</b>
The Timeline for this proposal was discussed briefly. It was agreed the March 2023 deadline for the next week 24 submission should remain, and regular updates to the ITCG and DCRP will continue. The timeline will continue to be discussed, and members comments logged.		
<b>Actions</b>	None	
<b>Agenda item 6. AOB</b>		<b>All</b>
None raised.		
<b>Actions</b>	None	
<b>Agenda item 7. Next Meeting</b>		<b>CMc</b>
The WG agreed the next meeting will be held 21 <sup>st</sup> September to continue the drafting of EREC G111. Invites will be circulated.		
<b>Action</b>	Circulate meeting invites	
<b>25.6</b>	<b>09/09/22</b>	CMc

## ACTIONS LIST

<b>18.2</b>	Review of the current DDRC within DCode towards later stages of modification <b>Ongoing</b>	ALL
<b>24.1</b>	Review schedule 2 against outcomes of DCP 399 <b>Ongoing</b>	AC/IP
<b>24.6</b>	Drafting required for OAD requests from the DNO for network planning <b>15/07/22 – ✓</b>	AC
<b>24.7</b>	Draft guidance into sections 6 and 7 for sub-transmission voltages <b>15/07/22 – ✓</b>	AC
<b>24.8</b>	Review schedules against 'demand only' reporting requirements <b>15/07/22</b>	IP
<b>25.1</b>	Provide WG with latest copy of EREC Post 02/09/22 meeting <b>09/09/22 – ✓</b>	CMc
<b>25.2</b>	Amend foreword for clarity to the user <b>16/09/22 – ✓</b>	AC/IP
<b>25.3</b>	Review definition for Connection Point <b>16/09/22 – ✓</b>	AC
<b>25.4</b>	Approach ON re background and intent to 'simplified definitions' document <b>21/09/22 – ✓</b>	IP
<b>25.5</b>	Provide feedback on latest draft of EREC G111 <b>21/09/22</b>	All
<b>25.6</b>	Circulate meeting invites <b>09/09/22 – ✓</b>	CMc