

Distribution Code Consultation Response Proforma

DCRP/21/01/PC: G98 / G99 Minor Technical Modification

Stakeholders are invited to respond to this consultation, expressing their views or providing any further evidence on any of the matters contained within the consultation document. Stakeholders are invited to supply the rationale for their responses to the set questions.

Please send your responses and comments by **17:00, 19th March 2021** to dcode@energynetworks.org and please title your email 'Consultation Response DCRP/20/06/PC DCode Storage Modification. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Working Group.

Any queries on the content of the consultation pro-forma should be addressed to DCode Administrator on 020 7706 5105, or to dcode@energynetworks.org

Respondent	BDH – Manufacturer organisation for heating appliances including CHP
Company Name	BDH - Bundesverband der Deutschen Heizungsindustrie e.V. Cologne, Germany
No. of DCode Stakeholders Represented	
Stakeholders represented	
Role of Respondent	Representing the leading European manufacturer for µCHP
We intend to publish the consultation responses on the DCode website. Do you agree to this response being published on the DCode website? [Y/N]	Y

Distribution Code Consultation Response Proforma

	Question	Response
Q1	Do you agree with the general intent of the proposed modification? If not, please explain your views.	yes
Q2	If you have any detailed comments on the proposed drafting, please provide those comments in the proforma provided, or by marking up the consultation drafts of G98 and/or G99.	See comments below
Q3	Do you have any comments in respect of the inclusion of the references to cyber security.	Yes, see below
Q4	Do you agree that the proposed modifications satisfy the applicable Distribution Code objectives? If not, please explain your concerns.	See comments below.

Distribution Code Consultation Response Proforma

Please provide comments relating to the specific technical content of the proposed modifications¹

Page / line No	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph Figure/ Table	Type of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial)	COMMENTS	Proposed change	OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIAT on each comment submitted
	9.3		te	<p>For some technologies, such as μCHP up to 50 kW the droop function is due to its dynamic specification not performable by these generators.</p> <p>The randomized disconnection function provides a comparable droop by the population connected to the grid and contributes by this to the grid stability.</p> <p>To support the high efficient μCHP technology and therefore to contribute to the CO₂ reduction we ask to accept one of the proposed modifications to permit randomized disconnection</p>	<p>We suggest to add in 9.3.1, 1st sentence „according to EN 50549-1” after “... reducing its Active Power output”</p> <p>Or add the following new clause</p> <p>9.3.5 Alternatively for the droop function described above, the method of randomized disconnection according to EN 50549-1 is permitted</p>	<p>In the RfG this is a TSO set requirement. During the development of G98 and G99 in 2018 NGESO made it clear that this was not to be used in GB. We will bring your response to NGESO’s attention.</p>
	9.4.1		Ed/te	<p>In Appendix 1, there is a reference to clauses of 9.4.2 and 9.4.3 but these references seems not to updated due to changes made in 9.4</p>	<p>The 2nd paragraph of 9.4.1 was originally 9.4.2. We assume that an unintended deletion of 9.4.2 has let to this error</p> <p>We suggest to make the 2nd para of 9.4.1 to 9.4.2 as it was in Amendment 4 version.</p>	<p>Noted – we will correct this. Thank you.</p>

¹ Add more rows if required

Distribution Code Consultation Response Proforma

Page / line No	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph Figure/ Table	Type of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial)	COMMENTS	Proposed change	OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIAT on each comment submitted
	9.7.1		te	<p>We are fully aware about the importance to have measures to avoid cyber-attacks, if those can happen due to the connection to the public communication network.</p> <p>From the viewpoint of the generator according to G98, where according to 9.4.2 the input port to cease active power within 5 s does not need to have a communications interface with the risk for a cyber-attack.</p> <p>Furthermore, the document to which is referred to in 9.7.1 “ENA and Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) Distributed Energy Resources (DER) – Cyber Security Connection Guidance” is not applicable for Domestic DER, see 1.2 Scope 1st paragraph.</p>	We suggest to remove this paragraph or to make it clear that this clause is not applicable for the function as specified in 9.4.2 using a input port.	<p>Thank you for your comments. We have currently decided to amend these requirements as per the attachment below.</p> <p>Note that there is a much (or more) risk to manufacturers’ or other third parties’ interaction with the PGM’s settings over the internet rather than the input port (which is not constrained not to be an IP port).</p>
	8.4.4		te	We suggest to add the 1:1 replacement of an e.g. defect inverter by a spare part inverter with the same functionality as the old one, because the generator was certified to that as a hole. For this type of replacement no additional certification should be necessary.	Please add a text, so that a 1:1 replacement by a spare part with the same function a new certificate according to G 98 A6 is not required.	No. This goes against the GB principles that where there is significant investment in the plant, it should be brought up to modern standards.

Distribution Code Consultation Response Proforma

9.7 Cyber Security

Every **Micro-generator** and any associated equipment must be designed and operated appropriately to ensure cyber security. The **Manufacturer** or **Installer** shall consider all cyber security risks applicable to the **Micro-Generator** both in terms of the communication between any home energy management system etc and also in terms of interaction with any system of the **Manufacturer** for product management.

The **Manufacturer** or **Installer** shall provide information describing the high level cyber security approach, as well as the specific cyber security requirements complied with. The statement will make appropriate reference to the **Micro-generator's** compliance with

- ETSI EN 303 645;
- relevant aspects of PAS 1879 "Energy smart appliances – Demand side response operation – Code of practice;
- relevant aspects of "Distributed Energy Resources – Cyber Security Connection Guidance" published by BEIS and the ENA;
- Any other relevant standard that has been incorporated in the design of the **Micro-Generator**.

Form C

Cyber security

Confirm that the **Manufacturer** or **Installer** of the **Micro-generator** has provided a statement describing how the **Micro-generator** has been designed to comply with cyber security requirements, as detailed in 9.7.

Yes / NA